<mark>Eclética</mark> Química Journal

| Vol. 45 | n. 2 | 2020 |

Effects on the development of Spodoptera frugiperda feeding on diets spiked with Solanum sisymbriifolium extracts

Ignacio Migues¹⁺^(b), Neiva Montero de Barros²^(b), Vânia Rech², Carmelo Dutra¹, Alejandro Ruiz Díaz¹, Juaci Vitória Malaquias³^(b), Alexandre Specht³^(b), Horacio Heinzen¹^(b), Maria Veronica Cesio¹^(b)

1. Universidad de la República, Chemistry Institute, Pharmacognosy & Natural Products, 2124 General Flores Av, Montevideo, Uruguay. 2. University of Caxias do Sul, Biotechnology Institute, Pest Control Laboratory, 1130 Francisco Getúlio Vargas St, Caxias do Sul, Rio Grande do

Sul, Brazil.

3. Embrapa Cerrados, BR-020, km 18, Planaltina, Brasília - DF, Brasil.

⁺Corresponding author: Ignacio Migues, Phone: +598 2924-4068 email address: <u>imigues@fq.edu.uy</u>

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: July 25, 2019 Accepted: March 05, 2020 Published: April 1, 2020

Keywords:

- 1. fall armyworm
- 2. pest management
- 3. Solanum sisymbriifolium
- 4. biopesticides
 5. sugar esters



ABSTRACT: Aiming to evaluate the effects of *Solanum sisymbriifolium* extracts on the development of *Spodoptera frugiperda*, a leaf dichloromethane extract was obtained and subjected to further purification following a bioguided methodology. The structure of the two main compounds isolated from *S. sisymbriifolium* Type IV glandular trichomes have been completely elucidated by a combination of chemical and spectroscopic methods. They are glycosides of 3-(*R*)-hydroxypalmitic acid and xylo (β -1-5) furanoarabinoside (Compound 1) and its 3-O-palmitoyl derivative (Compound 2). These compounds greatly influenced the survival and the development of *S. frugiperda*, when compared to an *in vitro* test control. The extracts delayed the development, decreased survival and promote abnormalities in the immatures (larvae and pupae). They also showed increasing toxicity towards *S. frugiperda* in the purification following a bioguided fractionation. The pure compounds had the most deleterious activity, increasing the larval and pupal toxicity (100% mortality for Compound 2 at 2.50 mg mL⁻¹ and 90% mortality for Compound 1 at 1.00 mg mL⁻¹).

1. Introduction

1.1. Solanum sisymbriifolium

Solanum sisymbriifolium L. is a spiky, perennial and invasive weed, native from the Rio de la Plata basin. By visual inspection, the shrub is almost free of herbivore insects. Interactions between plants and insects within an ecosystem are essentially physicochemical¹. The insect is either attracted or repelled towards a plant, following complex and specific physicochemical cues². *S. sisymbriifolium*'s epicuticle shows a very complex structural morphology, dense arrays of different kinds of hairs cover the abaxial and adaxial sides of the leaf³. Glandular trichomes are another physical/chemical defense line that contain preconceived compounds that are released when



the trichome is broken by some external stimulus. Because of this, the content of the trichomes is extracted with the epicuticular wax of the leaf⁴. However, it is possible to differentiate the origin of these compounds analyzing only the content of the glandular trichomes⁵. Epicuticular wax is removed from the surface of the leaves using non-polar solvents for a short period (normally 30 s) in order not to remove internal lipids^{6,7}. Trichome compounds can be separated from waxes by freezing out an acetone solution of the epicuticular extract. Trichome chemistry is diverse and many bioactive metabolites have been isolated from these storage structures, such as terpenes, alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids and sugar esters. In most cases, the shape and morphology of trichomes is characteristic of the type of compounds they contain^{8,9}. Visual inspection of S. sisymbriifolium under the microscope, leaf allowed the identification of type IV trichomes, which are the predominating ones over the abaxial side of the leaf. These trichomes are known for producing many bioactive compounds especially acyl sugars, compounds which play a key role within some of the chemically mediated interactions of many Solanaceae plants and their environment. Among the different bioactivities reported such as antihypertensive, analgesic, anti-diarrheic, antioxidant and antibacterial¹⁰⁻¹⁴, some anti-insect properties of the compounds have been described in literature¹⁵.

1.2. Spodoptera frugiperda

Spodoptera frugiperda is an endemic Lepidoptera all over the American continent that in the last decade has reached Africa, Europe and India and probably in some years will invade all the countries around the world¹⁶. It is considered as a key-pest and its larvae attack more than 350 plants belonging to 76 plant families, mainly Poaceae including cultivated plants as corn, rice, sovbeans and cotton^{17,18}. Rapid development and fertility allow S. frugiperda to have a high number of offspring $(2.086 \times 10^{29} \text{ individuals/female/})$ year)^{19,20}. Only in Brazil it is responsible for losses of over \$ 40 million per year²¹. As these larvae attack a wide variety of plants is difficult to develop an effective control strategy²². Caterpillars are usually controlled with chemicals that can be harmful to the applicators and the environment and in several cases, remain in soil and plants causing biological unbalance and generating the

appearance of resistant populations²³. Moreover, these chemicals affect negatively the food safety.

In larval phase, insects choose appropriate food to obtain nutrients that favor their growing and development into sexually competitive adults. In field conditions *S. frugiperda* complete development cycle has a 30-day duration, with six instars, a larval phase of 14 days and pupae size of 14-18 mm with a duration period of 8-9 days when growing at 25 °C but when reared on artificial diets, the larval phase is shorter as well as the pupal phase¹⁹.

In the present communication the chemical composition of the exudates from *S. sisymbriifolium* type IV trichomes and the bioactivity of the extracts isolated compounds towards *Spodopera frugiperda* is reported.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

GC was performed using an HP 6890 chromatograph – Mass Selective Detector 5973, Split Mode, T_{Inj} : 290 °C, $T_{interphase}$: 280 °C, T_{source} : 230 °C, $T_{quadrupole}$: 140 °C. Constant flow, Capillary HP-5 column, Carrier: Helium. Temperature program: T_i : 60 °C, 5 min, 5 °C min⁻¹ until T_f : 270 °C, 5 min. The compound identification was done by comparison with the NIST-05 library.

NMR experiments (¹H and ¹³C, mono and bi dimensional) were performed using a Bruker Avance 400 and 100 MHz respectively, using CDCl₃ as solvent and TMS as internal reference, using the standard sequences for the bidimensional experiments.

The MALDI-TOF/MS spectra were obtained using a Voyager DE-PRO instrument (Applied Biosystems), with 4-cyanohydroxycinnamic acid as matrix.

The absolute configuration of 3(R)hydroxypalmitic acid was performed using a Krüss P8000 polarimeter (Krüss Optronic) equipped with software V3.0 using 5 cm cells.

All reagents were Sigma Aldrich analytical grade. The thin layer plates were Polygram Sil/UV254 0.25 mm Layer (Macherey-Nagel), and the mobile phase used was CHCl₃/MeOH (9:1). All extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure with temperatures below 60 °C.

The dyeing reagents used were:

1) 5% de CuSO₄ in 10% aqueous solution of H_3PO_4 .

2) Sugar dyeing reagent: Diphenylamine:Aniline:Phosphoric Acid in Acetone.

2.2. Plant Material

S. sisymbriifolium samples were collected in Montevideo, Uruguay (-34.888761, -56.185015), they were identified and kept at the Jose Arechavaleta Herbarium in the Faculty of Chemistry, UdelaR, Uruguay (Voucher number 3520).

2.3. Extracts

The extraction method was performed following Rech-Cainelli et al. 2015²⁴, where 300 g of fresh leaves were immersed portion wise in 1000 mL of dichloromethane for 30 s in order to extract only epicuticular waxes compounds. The dichloromethane solutions were evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness (Dichloromethane extract). To yield the enriched fraction of sugar esters, 1 g of the previous extract was dissolved in acetone (100 mL) and the solution was cooled to -20 °C and kept overnight at this temperature. The resulting precipitate was discarded and the acetone extract was evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness (Dichlormethane/acetone extract).

2.4. Bioguided fractionation

The phytochemical study was done following a bio-guided fractionation, using the enriched fraction of sugar esters and the composition of the different fractions was evaluated by TLC. Open column chromatography was performed with the dichloromethane/acetone extract in order to isolate the two major sugar esters. 1 g of the extract was used into a 50 g of silica Gel (Baker 60-200 Mesh) column, and a total of 250 (10 mL) fractions were collected using an increasing polarity solvent mixture until the isolation of compounds 1 and 2.

The identification of Compounds 1 and 2 fatty acids was done following the methodology proposed by Heinzen *et al.* $(1985)^{25}$.

2.5. Absolute configuration of 3(R)-hydroxypalmitic acid

The methyl ester of the glycoside 2 (10 mg) was kept under stirring overnight at RT in 3 mL of 10% HCl in MeOH. A mixture of dichloromethane: water 1:1 (10 mL) was added and the phases partitioned through centrifugation. The polarized light deviation of the dichloromethane solution was measured and the $\{\alpha\}$ D calculated.

2.6. Evaluation of S. sisymbriifolium extracts bioactivity over S. frugiperda

The larvae were reared following the methodology described by Montezano *et al.* $(2019)^{19}$. They were fed with artificial diet²⁶ and maintained under controlled conditions $(25 \pm 2 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}, 70 \pm 10\% \text{ RH}$ and photoperiod of 14 h) at the Biotechnology Institute, Universidade de Caxias do Sul, Brazil.

The extracts were diluted in Tween-80 (5%) and mixed with the diet until total homogenization.

The bioassays were performed with 1 cm³ diet blocks spiked with the test solution at 0.25, 1.00 and 2.50 mg mL⁻¹ levels and a control group, in 50 mL plastic glasses. Neonate larvae were placed individually (each one was considered a repetition) in the plastic glasses for the assays.

Two bioassays were performed: the first one used 700 newborn larvae (100 replicates for each condition) to evaluate the effect of the three levels of extract 1 (E1 at 0.25, E1 at 1.00 and E1 at 2.50 mg mL⁻¹) and the three levels of extract 2 (E2 at 0.25, E2 at 1.00 and E2 at 2.50 mg mL⁻¹), besides the control group.

The second bioassay used 210 newborn larvae (30 replicates for each condition) to evaluate the effect of the three levels of Compound 1 (C1 0.25, C1 1.00 and C1 2.50 mg mL⁻¹) and three levels of Compound 2 (C2 0.25, C2 1.00 and C2 2.50 mg mL⁻¹), besides the control group.

All the replicates were observed individually until the moth emergence (adult), registering the daily survival and adult malformations.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The average survival time curves (larvae to adult) were elaborated using Kaplan-Meier estimator and comparisons between survival curves were made using Log Rank test with R version $3.5.1^{27}$. The curves were compared between each extract and each compound.

3. Results and Discussion

The addition of *S. sisymbriifolium* dichloromethane extract (E1) and

dichloromethane/acetone extract (sugar esters enriched extract) E2 at 0.25, E2 at 1.00 and E2 at 2.50 mg mL⁻¹ concentrations to the diet affected the development of *S. frugiperda*, effect that can be verified by the survival curves (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Survival curves of immature *Spodoptera frugiperda* (larvae, prepupae, and pupae) from larvae reared on artificial diet spiked with dichloromethane extract **E1** (a) and **E2** (b). Continuous line control (without extract); dashed line (large dashes) extract at 0.25 mg mL⁻¹; dashed line (short dashes) extract at 1.00 mg mL⁻¹ and dotted line extract at 2.50 mg mL⁻¹. Note that the curves stopped at the percentage of adults emerged (Log Rank test, $\chi 2 = 110-118$, df =3, p < 0.001) see Table 2.

The larvae fed on artificial diet with dichloromethane extract (E1) showed a survival percentage of 85% (0.25 mg mL⁻¹), 48% (1.00 mg mL⁻¹) and 38% (2.50 mg mL⁻¹) (Fig. 1a), in a dose dependent mortality effect (Fig. 1).

The larvae fed on artificial diet with dichloromethane / acetone extract (E2) showed greater effects on survival of *S. frugiperda* with ratios of 72% (0.25 mg mL⁻¹), 45% (1.00 mg mL⁻¹) and 29% (2.50 mg mL⁻¹) (Fig. 1b), also with a dose dependent mortality effect (Fig. 1). Because E2 showed a greater toxic overall effect and this extract was further fractionated.

It was assumed that the decreased insect survival could be related to compounds from type IV glandular trichomes which were isolated with the leaf wax and subsequently purified in the dichloromethane/acetone extract. These types of compounds are acyl sugars, with known bioactivity against insects and fungi^{5,28-30}.

Two major acyl sugar derivatives were isolated after silica gel column chromatography, visualized in TLC plates with the Sugar dyeing reagent ($R_f = 0.3$ and 0.25).

The structure of both isolated compounds was totally elucidated by NMR and GC as described in experimental section. In previous works, Cesio *et al.* (2006)⁷ reported a rough description of the structure of acyl sugar methyl esters, not the naturally occurring compound. The fatty acid composition was determined by GC/MS of the methyl esters derivatives. The whole structure was proposed using the GC alditol analysis combined with the HMBC NMR experiment. The structure of the occurring natural product, the non-esterified compounds, was deduced after a thoroughly study of the MALDI–TOF/MS spectrum, and additional bidimensional NMR experiments.

Basic hydrolysis of Compound 2 yielded only palmitic acid. On the other hand, its exhaustive acid hydrolysis yielded two pentose residues, and a levorotatory β -hydroxy fatty acid, identified as 3-(R) hydroxypalmitic acid after GC-MS analysis and the comparison to literature values of the optical properties of 3-hydroxy acids. The pentoses were identified through alditol analysis as arabinose and xylose in a 1:1 relationship. These findings suggested a compound having the structure of a glycoside of an arabinoxylan linked to the hydroxypalmitic acid and esterified with palmitic acid, Further confirmation was obtained through MALDI-TOF/MS analysis of the methyl ester of the glycoside, which detected a neat $m/z=797.5392 [M + Na]^+$ peak, and smaller one at m/z=775.5574 [M + H]⁺. The final structure determination of Compound 1 was achieved through NMR experiments. A complex pattern of ¹³C and ¹H NMR signals of a disaccharide spectra was obtained for Compound 2. A detailed analysis of ¹³C spectra of Compound 1 yielded two carboxyl carbons at δ =177 ppm and a signal at δ =107.8 ppm, characteristic of an arabinofuranoside conformation. The other anomeric carbon was detected at $\delta = 104.2$ ppm, consistent with a carbohydrate in the pyranose conformation. The signals corresponding to 9 C-O resonances appeared between δ =60 and δ =80 ppm. Finally, two signals at δ =14 and δ =17 ppm that correspond to the methyl groups of the fatty acid chains that substituted the arabinofuranose carbohydrate framework were also detected. The ¹H NMR spectra of Compound 1 showed a region of methylenic protons between $\delta=1$ and $\delta=2$ ppm, a multiplet at δ =2.8 ppm which are consistent with the alpha protons of a β -hydroxyacid and another multiplet centered at δ =2.2 ppm corresponding to the protons alfa to the acyl residue attached to the dissacharide. The sugar protons appeared between δ =3.2 and δ =5.2 ppm. Bidimensional NMR experiments allowed the full characterization of the molecule. The HSQC-TOCSY experiment showed four spin systems. The two carbohydrate residues were clearly differentiated. The two anomeric carbons were detected at δ =107.8 ppm and δ =104.2 ¹³C ppm the in NMR spectrum, the monosaccharide units with anomeric protons at δ =5.2 and 4.45 ppm (J=5.4) corresponded to two pentoses with their methylene carbons at δ =68.7 and δ =65.8 ppm, respectively, and were identified as α -L-furanoarabinose and β -D-xylose. The third spin system belonged to the fatty acid residue attached to the disaccharide. Whereas the fourth spin system corresponded to a β-hydroxy carboxylic acid system δ =4.11, 2.8 ppm aligned through the ¹³C signal at (δ =74.1). COSY ¹H-¹H connectivity allowed the unambiguous assignment of all protons and therefore the identification of the structure of each monosaccharide (Tab. 1). The HMBC experiment gave the intramolecular connectivity that allowed the final structure elucidation of Compound 1. Cross peaks between araf C-1 (δ =107.8) H-3 of hydroxypalmitic $(\delta = 4.11)$ and C-3 $(\delta = 74.1)$ of hydroxypalmitic and the anomeric signal of araf (5.15); between C-1 $(\delta = 177)$ of palmitic acid and *araf* H-3 ($\delta = 4.11$) showed the substitution of the arabinose residue. A third group of cross peaks were detected between the C-5 of araf (δ =68.7), and H-1 xyl (δ =4.20); the geminal protons H-5 araf (δ =4.07; 3.80) and the C-1 (δ =104.2) of the terminal xylose unit. They were indicative of a glycosidic linkage between the anomeric carbon of the xylose residue and the C-5 araf- geminal protons, showing the xylo-\beta-1-5araf- structure of Compound 1³¹. Based on the above discussed data, we propose that the Compound 2 structure is the 3-araf- palmitate of. [3-(*R*)-hydroxypalmitic acid] (*araf*-1) \rightarrow xylo (β 1-5) furanoarabinoside, (Fig. 2).

The structure of Compound 2 was deduced comparing the spectral data and chemical properties of Compound 1. The acid hydrolysis of Compound 2 gave the same carbohydrate and hydroxy acid residues as for Compound 1 but no palmitic acid was detected after basic hydrolysis. MALDI-TOF/MS spectrum showed a peak at m/z=559.3095 [M +Na]⁺ which is consistent to a disaccharide of xylose and arabinofuranose with a 3-(*R*) hydroxypalmitic acid. 13 C NMR spectrum showed only the signal at $\delta = 14$ ppm from one methyl group and only one signal at δ =176 ppm was detected. The signal at δ =4.2 ppm had shifted to δ =3.6 ppm, indicating that Compound 2 is the unesterified glycoside of Compound 1. Bidimensional NMR experiments (HSQC-TOCSY, ¹H-¹H COSY, HMBC) were consistent and confirmed Compound 1 as [3-(R)hydroxypalmitic acid] (araf-1) and xylo (β 1-5) arabinofuranoside (Fig. 2).

Compound 1					
Canhan	xylose		arabinose (f)		
Carbon	H	С	Н	С	
1	4.45 (J = 2.7 Hz)	104.2	5.06 (J = 5.4 Hz)	107.8	
2	3.45 (J = 3.5, 2.7 Hz)	76.8	3.93 (J = 7.1, 5.4 Hz)	76.2	
3	3.35 (J = 3.5 Hz)	73.7	3.99 (J = 7.1, 4.4 Hz)	76.4	
4	3.62 (J = 3.5, 2.9, 2.7 Hz)	69.9	3.98 (J = 4.7, 4.4 Hz)	83.2	
5	3.23 (J = 13.3, 2.9 Hz)	65 9	4.02 (J = 4.7 Hz)	69 7	
5	3.92 (J = 13.3, 2.7 Hz)	03.8	3.75 (J = 4.7 Hz)	00.7	

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C relevant assignments of the glycosidic template of Compound 1 and 2 using 1D and 2D NMR experiments with a 100 MHz field in CDCl₃.

Compound 2					
Carbon	xylose		arabinose (f)		
Carbon	Н	С	Н	С	
1	4.45 (J = 2.7 Hz)	104.2	5.15 (J = 5.4 Hz)	107.8	
2	3.45 (J = 3.5, 2.7 Hz)	76.8	4.05 (J = 7.1, 5.4 Hz)	80.4	
3	3.35 (J = 3.5 Hz)	73.7	4.90 (J = 7.1, 4.4 Hz)	80.2	
4	3.62 (J = 3.5, 2.9, 2.7 Hz)	69.9	4.15 (J = 4.4, 4.3 Hz)	83.1	
E	3.23 (J = 13.3, 2.9 Hz)	65 9	4.07 (J = 4.3 Hz)	69 7	
3	3.92 (J = 13.3, 2.7 Hz)	03.8	3.80 (J = 4.3 Hz)	00.7	



Figure 2. Molecular structures of Compounds 1 and 2.

Summarizing we postulate that the structures of the acyl sugars from *S. sisymbriifolium* are:

Compound 1: xylo (β -1-5) furanoarabinoside (α -1-3)3-(R)-hydroxypalmitic acid C₂₆H₄₈O₁₁. MALDI-TOF/MS [M+Na]⁺ = 559.3095. Calculated 559.3094, and for **Compound 2**: 3-O *araf*- Palmitic acid ester of xylo (β -1-5) furanoarabinoside (α -1-33-(R)-hydroxypalmitic acid $C_{42}H_{78}O_{12}\ [M+Na]^+=797.5392$ Calculated 797.5391.

Furthermore, the biological activity of the two isolated compounds was studied in bioassays conducted on artificial diet spiked with them. The results are shown in Fig. 3. Tables 2, 3 and 4 show the results of the Log Rank test with the c^2 values for the different situations at different confidence levels. This test is the preferred one to compare if the survival of populations when exposed to different stressors are independent events or not. All the compounds and extracts caused significantly higher mortality than the control during the bioassays. Furthermore, the toxicity of the pure compounds was also significantly higher than that caused by the crude extracts (see Tab. 4).



Figure 3. Survival curves of immature *Spodoptera frugiperda* (larvae, prepupae, and pupae) from larvae reared on artificial diet spiked with Compounds **1** (a) and **2** (b). Continuous line control (without extract); dashed line (large dashes) 0.25 mg mL⁻¹; dashed line (short dashes) 1.00 mg mL⁻¹ and dotted line 2.50 mg mL⁻¹. Note that the curves stopped at the percentage of adults emerged (Log Rank test, $\chi 2 = 38-51$, df =3, p < 0.001) see Tab. 3.

Table 2. Chi-square and p-values from Log Rank test for comparison between survival curves of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (larvae, prepupae, and pupae) from larvae reared on artificial diet spiked with dichloromethane extract E1 and E2.

	DF	χ^2	-	χ^2	-
	Dr	Extract 1	р	Extract 2	Р
General comparison among all curves	3	110.1	< 0.001	118.0	< 0.001
Control \times 0.25 mg mL ⁻¹	1	5.5	=0.020	18.9	< 0.001
Control \times 1.00 mg mL ⁻¹	1	54.2	< 0.001	60.8	< 0.001
Control \times 2.50 mg mL ⁻¹	1	75.9	< 0.001	98.4	< 0.001
	DF	χ^2	n	χ^2	n
	Dr	Extract 1	Р	Extract 2	Р
$0.25 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \times 1.00 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$	1	29.4	< 0.001	16.1	< 0.001
$0.25 \text{ mg mJ}^{-1} \times 2.50 \text{ mg mJ}^{-1}$					
$0.25 \text{ mg mL} \times 2.50 \text{ mg mL}$	1	49.1	< 0.001	43.3	< 0.001
0.25 mg mL × 2.50 mg mL	1 DE	49.1 x²	<0.001	$\frac{43.3}{\chi^2}$	<0.001
0.25 mg mL × 2.50 mg mL	1 DF	49.1 χ ² Extract 1	<0.001 P	43.3 <u>χ²</u> Extract 2	<0.001 P

	DF	χ ² Compound 1	р	χ ² Compound 2	р
General comparison among all curves	3	38.2	< 0.001	58.9	< 0.001
Control \times 0.25 mg mL ⁻¹	1	9.3	=0.002	12.7	< 0.001
Control \times 1.00 mg mL ⁻¹	1	20.9	< 0.001	26.1	< 0.001
Control \times 2.50 mg mL ⁻¹	1	36.7	< 0.001	48.4	< 0.001
		v ²		\sim^2	
	DF	Compound 1	р	Compound 2	р
$0.25 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \times 1.00 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$	DF	Compound 1 2.7	р =0.100	Compound 2 2.7	p =0.100
$\frac{0.25 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \times 1.00 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}}{0.25 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \times 2.50 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}}$	DF 1 1	Compound 1 2.7 10.6	P =0.100 =0.001	Compound 2 2.7 21.2	p =0.100 <0.001
0.25 mg mL ⁻¹ × 1.00 mg mL ⁻¹ 0.25 mg mL ⁻¹ × 2.50 mg mL ⁻¹	DF 1 1 DF	λ Compound 1 2.7 10.6 χ² Compound 1	p =0.100 =0.001 p	λ Compound 2 2.7 21.2 χ² Compound 2	p =0.100 <0.001 p

Table 3. Chi-square and p-values from Log Rank test for comparison between survival curves of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (larvae, prepupae, and pupae) from larvae reared on artificial diet spiked with Compounds 1 and 2.

Table 4. Chi-square and p-values from Log Rank test for comparison between survival curves of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (larvae, prepupae, and pupae) from larvae reared on artificial diet spiked with extracts 1 and 2 and Compounds 1 and 2 at each concentration.

	DE	χ^2	р	
	Dr	Extract 1 × Extract 2		
0.25 mg mL ⁻¹	1	4.7	=0.030	
1.00 mg mL ⁻¹	1	0.8	< 0.400	
2.50 mg mL ⁻¹	1	2.1	< 0.100	
	DE			
	DE	χ^2	n	
	DF	$\frac{\chi^2}{\text{Compound 1} \times \text{Compound 2}}$	р	
0.25 mg mL ⁻¹	DF	$\frac{\chi^2}{Compound \ 1 \times Compound \ 2}$ 0.4	p =0.500	
0.25 mg mL ⁻¹ 1.00 mg mL ⁻¹	DF 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} \chi^2 \\ \hline \textbf{Compound 1 \times Compound 2} \\ 0.4 \\ 0.5 \\ \end{array}$	P =0.500 =0.500	

It was observed that compounds 1 and 2 at concentrations of 0.25, 1.00 and 2.50 mg mL⁻¹ affected the development of *S. frugiperda*. The observed mortality was concentration-dependent for compound 1 and 2 (Fig. 3). After 40 days of evaluation, Compound 1 did not reach 100% mortality with the higher evaluated concentration. But Compound 2 achieved 100% mortality evaluated in the same condition after 15 days of experiment.

Moreover, the overall number of insects reaching the adult phase were 46.29% (0.25 mg mL⁻¹), 15.27% (1.00 mg mL⁻¹) and 3.46% (2.50 mg mL⁻¹) for Compound 1 (Fig. 3).

When Compound 2 was evaluated, the survival observed percentages were 36.72% (0.25 mg mL⁻¹), 11.11% (1.00 mg/mL) and zero (2.50 mg mL⁻¹) (Fig. 3).

S. frugiperda feeding behavior as a polyphagous insect requires large amounts of food for their development to reach the adult stage.

There is no evidence of sugar esters acute toxicity to insects, and the intake may be a prerequisite to detect the deleterious effects of these compounds³².

As observed in other studies evaluating effects of plant extracts on *S. frugiperda* development³³⁻³⁵, in all treatments, beyond mortality, were observed abnormalities in immatures that which qualitatively demonstrate the effects of extracts and compounds on the development of *S. frugiperda*.

The isolated compounds, evaluated at the same concentration levels, were more toxic than the crude extracts assayed and caused noticeable abnormalities in the metamorphosis phase. The abnormalities that resulted in the interruption of the metamorphosis process have similar characteristics as those already described for lefenuron, which acts as an insect's growth regulator³⁶.

4. Conclusions

The two evaluated extracts increase the immature mortality of *S. frugiperda*. This effect could be related to the individual acyl sugars tested.

The dichloromethane / acetone extract (sugar ester enriched extract) E2, highly affected the larval survival rather than the pupal.

The response was dose dependent and the major compounds (1 and 2) from this extract were isolated and their structures elucidated.

When the pure compounds were added to the

diet, a significant effect was observed in the metamorphosis phase, as many of the exposed caterpillars failed to accomplish the transformation to reach the pupal stage. See Fig. 4a, 4b, 4c. With Compound 1, the rates of mortality of the insects failing to survive the larval to pupal phase transition were 27% (1.00 mg mL⁻¹) and 53% (2.50 mg mL⁻¹). The mortality of the insects that could not complete their metamorphosis was 17% (0.25 mg mL⁻¹) and 50% (mg mL⁻¹), both compounds showed important effects over the larval stage. See Fig. 4d and 4e.



Figure 4. Abnormalities found in *S. frugiperda* larval stage when fed with artificial diet spiked with Compound 2 (a, b & c) and with Compound 1 (d & e).

Compounds 1 and 2 showed high toxicity levels over the *S. frugiperda* larval phase with almost 100% mortality for Compound 1 at 2.50 mg mL⁻¹ and 90% mortality for Compound 2 at 1.00 mg mL⁻¹.

These results show that the acyl sugars isolated from *S. sisymbriifolium* trichomes are a new class of bioactive compounds that affect the development of the fall armyworm *S. frugiperda*. Due to their simple chemical structures, they could be interesting lead compounds for the synthesis of new agents for pest control working as biopesticides contributing with the sustainability of different ecosystems.

5. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge CAPES-UdelaR and PEDECIBA QUIMICA for supporting this research and the academic exchanges between Brazil and Uruguay.

6. References

[1] Burkart, A., Bacigalupo, N. M., Flora ilustrada de Entre Rios (Argentina): Dicotiledóneas metaclamideas (gamopétalas), A: Primulales a plantaginales, In: Colección Científica del Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Buenos Aires, 1978.

[2] Seithe, A., Hair types as taxonomic characters in *Solanum*, In: The Biology and Taxonomy of the Solanaceae, Hawkes, J. G., Lester, R. N., Skelding, A. D., (Eds.), Linnean Society of London, London, 1979.

[3] Liedl, B. E., Lawson, D. M., White, K. K., Shapiro, J. A., Cohen, D. E., Carson, W. G., Trumble, J. T., Mutschler, M. A., Acylsugars of wild tomato *Lycopersicon pennellii* alters settling and reduces oviposition of *Bemisia argentifolii* (Homoptera: Al eyrodidae), Journal of Economic Entomology 88 (3) (1995) 742-748. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/88.3.742.

[4] Wollenweber, E., Dörr, M., Rivera, D., Roitman, J. N., Externally accumulated flavonoids in three Mediterranean Onion species, Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C: A Journal of Biosciences 58 (11-12) (2003) 771-775. https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-2003-11-1202.

[5] Dutra, C., Cesio, M. V., Moyna, P., Heinzen, H., Acyl sucroses from *Salpichroa origanifolia*, Natural Product Communications 3 (4) (2008) 539-542. https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X0800300413.

[6] García, S., Heinzen, H., Hubbuch, C., Martínez, R., Devries, X. de, Moyna, P., Triterpene methyl ethers from Palmae epicuticular waxes, Phytochemistry 39 (6) (1995) 1381-1382. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(95)00173-5.

[7] Cesio, M. V., Dutra, C., Moyna, P., Heinzen, H., Morphological and chemical diversity in the Type IV glandular trichomes of Solananeae (S. sisymbriifolium and N. glauca) as germplasm resources for agricultural and food uses, Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 9 (3) (2006) 281-285. https://doi.org/10.2225/vol9-issue3fulltext-4.

[8] Smith, C. M., Use of plant resistance in insect pest management systems, In: Plant Resistance to Insects, Wiley, New York, 1989.

[9] Smith, C. M., What is plant resistance to insects? In: Plant Resistance to Insects, Wiley, New York, 1989.

[10] More, G. K., A review of the ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacological relevance of the South African weed Solanum sisymbriifolium Lam. (Solanaceae), Environment, Development and Sustainability 21 (1) (2019) 37-50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-017-0042-6.

[11] Ibarrola, D. A., Hellión-Ibarrola, M. C., Montalbetti, Y., Heinichen, O., Alvarenga, N., Figueredo, A., Ferro, E. A., Isolation of hypotensive compounds from *Solanum sisymbriifolium* Lam, Journal of Ethnopharmacology 70 (3) (2000) 301-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(00)00191-4.

[12] Apu, A. S., Bhuyan, S. H., Matin, M., Hossain, F., Khatun, F., Jamaluddin, A. T., Analgesic, neuropharmacological, anti-diarrheal, and cytotoxic activities of the extract of *Solanum sisymbriifolium* (Lam.) leaves, Avicenna Journal of Phytomedicine 3 (4) (2013) 302-31. https://doi.org/10.22038/ajp.2013.384.

[13] Pasdaran, A., Pasdaran, A., Mamedov, N., Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities of the Volatile Composition of the Flower and Fruit of *Solanum sisymbriifolium* (Litchi Tomato), Pharmaceutical Sciences 23 (1) (2017) 66-71. https://doi.org/10.15171/PS.2017.10. [14] Moehninsi, D., Navarre, D. A., Brown, C. R., Phytonutrient content of *Solanum sisymbriifolium* Lam. berries, Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 44 (2015) 73-79.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2015.07.004.

[15] Puterka, G. J., Severson, R. F., Activity of sugar esters isolated from leaf trichomes of *Nicotiana gossei* to pear psylla (Homoptera: Psyllidae), Journal of Economic Entomology 88 (3) (1995) 615-619. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/88.3.615.

[16] CABI, Invasive Species Compendium, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Fall armyworm), 2019. https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/29810#toreferences.

[17] Capinera, J. L., Encyclopedia of entomology, Springer, Dordrecht, 2nd ed., 2008. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6359-6.

[18] Montezano, D. G., Specht, A., Sosa-Gómez, D. R., Roque-Specht, V. F., Sousa-Silva, J. C., Paula-Moraes, S. V. de, Peterson, J. A., Hunt, T. E., Host Plants of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the Americas, African Entomology 26 (2) (2018) 286-300. https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026.0286.

[19] Montezano, D. G., Specht, A., Sosa-Gómez, D. R., Roque-Specht, V. F., Malaquias, J. V., Paula-Moraes, S. V. de, Peterson, J. A., Hunt, T. E., Developmental parameters of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) immature stages under controlled and standardized conditions, Journal of Agricultural Science 11 (8) (2019) 76-89. https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n8p76.

[20] Montezano, D. G., Specht, A., Sosa-Gómez, D. R., Roque-Specht, V. F., Malaquias, J. V., Paula-Moraes, S. V. de, Peterson, J. A., Hunt, T. E., Biotic Potential and Reproductive Parameters of Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), Journal of Agricultural Science 11 (13) (2019)240-252. https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n13p240.

[21] Cruz, I., Figueiredo, M. L. C., Oliveira, A. C., Vasconcelos, C. A., Damage of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) in different maize genotypes cultivated in soil under three levels of aluminium saturation, International Journal of Pest Management 45 (4) (1999)293-296. https://doi.org/10.1080/096708799227707.

[22] Barros, E. M., Torres, J. B., Bueno, A. F., Oviposition, development, and reproduction of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) fed on different hosts of economic importance, Neotropical Entomology 39 (6) (2010) 996-1001. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2010000600023. [23] Whalon, M. E., Mota-Sanchez, D., Hollingorth, R. M., Global Pesticide Resistance in Arthropods, Blackwell Publishing Ltd., London, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845933531.0000.

[24] Rech-Cainelli, V., Barros, N. M. de, Garcia-Gianni, S., Sbeghen-Loss, A. C., Heinzen, H., Díaz, A. R., Migues, I., Specht, A., Cesio, M. V., Antifeedant and repellent effects of neotropical Solanum extracts on drywood termites (*Cryptotermes brevis*, Isoptera: Kalotermitidae), Sociobiology An International Journal on Social Insects 62 (1) (2015) 82-87. https://doi.org/10.13102/sociobiology.v62i1.82-87.

[25] Heinzen, H., Moyna, P., Grompone, A., Gas chromatography determination of fatty acid compositions, Journal of Chemical Education 62 (5) (1985) 449-450. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed062p449.

[26] Greene, G. L., Leppla, N. C., Dickerson, W. A., Velvet bean Caterpillar: a rearing procedure and artificial medium, Journal of Economic Entomology 69 (4) (1976) 487-488. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/69.4.487.

[27] Efron, B., Logistic regression, survival analysis, and the Kaplan-Meier curve, Journal of the American Statistical Association 83 (402) (1988) 414-425. https://doi.org/10.2307/2288857.

[28] Neal Junior, J. W., Buta, J. G., Pittarelli, G. W., Lusby, W. R., Bentz, J.-A., Novel sucrose esters from *Nicotiana gossei*: Effective biorationals against selected horticultural insect pests, Journal of Economic Entomology 87 (6) (1994) 1600-1607. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/87.6.1600.

[29] Buta, J. G., Lusby, W. R., Neal Junior, J. W., Waters, R. M., Pittarelli, G. W., Sucrose esters from *Nicotiana gossei* active against the greenhouse whitefly *Trialeuroides vaporariorum*, Phytochemistry 32 (4) (1993) 859-864. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(93)85220-L.

[30] Yencho, G. C., Renwick, J. A. A., Steffens, J. C., Tingey, W. M., Leaf surface extracts of *Solanum berthaultii* Hawkes deter Colorado potato beetle feeding, Journal of Chemical Ecology 20 (1994) 991-1007. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02059737.

[31] Agrawal, P. K., NMR spectroscopy in the structural elucidation of oligosaccharides anf glycosides, Phytochemistry 31 (10) (1992) 3307-3330. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(92)83678-R.

[32] Neal, J. J., Tingey, W. M., Steffens, J. C., Sucrose esters of carboxylic acids in glandular trichomes of *Solanum berthaultii* deter settling and probing by green peach aphid, Journal of Chemical Ecology 16 (1990) 487-497. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01021780.

[33] Roel, A. R., Dourado, D. M., Matias, R., Porto, K. R. A., Bednaski, A. V., Costa, R. B. da, The effect of sub-lethal doses of *Azadirachta indica* (Meliaceae) oil in the midgut of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), Revista Brasileira de Entomologia 54 (3) (2010) 505-510. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0085-56262010000300024.

[34] Sarria, A. L. F., Soares, M. S., Matos, A. P., Fernandes, J. B., Vieira, P. C., Silva, M. F. G. F. da, Effect of triterpenoids and limonoids isolated from *Cabralea canjerana* and *Carapa guianensis* (Meliaceae) against *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith), Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C: A Journal of Biosciences 66 (5-6) (2011) 245-250. https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-2011-5-607.

[35] Magrini, F. E., Specht, A., Gaio, J., Girelli, C. P., Migues, I., Heinzen, H., Saldaña, J., Sartori, V. C., Cesio, V., Antifeedant activity and effects of fruits and seeds extracts of *Cabralea canjerana canjerana* (Vell.) Mart. (Meliaceae) on the immature stages of the fall *armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), Industrial Crops and Products 65 (2015) 150-158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.11.032.

[36] Cohen, E., Chitin synthesis and inhibition: a revisit, Pest Management Science 57 (10) (2001) 946-950. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.363.