Complexations of Divalent Metallic Ions with Fulvic Acids

Denise de Oliveira Vaz¹, Andreia Neves Fernandes², Bruno Szpoganicz³

¹Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), University Campus Reitor João David Ferreira Lima, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil
²Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), 9500 Bento Gonçalves Av, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
³Corresponding author: Denise de Oliveira Vaz, e-mail address: denisevaz.business@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO
Article history:
Received: September 4, 2017
Accepted: May 23, 2018
Published: May 29, 2018

Keywords:
1. fulvic acids
2. SRFA
3. copper
4. cadmium
5. zinc

ABSTRACT: In this work, the interactions of the functional groups of fulvic acids with copper, cadmium and zinc bivalent ions was investigated by potentiometry. The BEST7 software was employed to investigate the interactions of the functional groups. The software SPE and SPEPLOT were used to generate and to plot the species diagrams. It was used the Suwannee River fulvic acid (SRFA) of the IHSS (International Humic Substances Society) to illustrate the process. The values of the proton dissociation and complexation constants with the divalent ions for each functional group were calculated and their values were very close to those previously published. The functional group present in the highest quantity in the complexes was catechol, and it is complexed with all the divalent ions, although with Cu(II). According to the results obtained by potentiometry, the reactivity series for the divalent ions and the SRFA is: Cu(II) >> Cd(II) > Zn(II). Thus, the method employed could be useful to estimate the role of fulvic acids in the transport of metals in the aquatic environments.

1. Introduction

The study of the complexation of potential toxic metals at natural systems by substances as fulvic acids, which are in fresh-waters, for example, is important because these reactions can determine the metal speciation and bioavailability of the metal species. The metal speciation also can determine the mobility of trace metals in the ecosystems¹,². Free metal ions are more toxic to aquatic biota than metal ions bound to organic molecules like the fulvic acids³. The zinc, for example, is one of the essential metals for the cycle of life of the organisms, but it can be harmful when its concentrations overpass the limits required for a healthy nutrition⁴. Heavy metals, as zinc, have the ability to accumulate in living tissues throughout the food chain. Fish can become the main form of population transfer to these elements, since they are capable of bioaccumulation and bioconcentration⁵. Copper and cadmium appear in the ecosystems as contaminants independent of their concentration or oxidation number. Cadmium, copper and zinc are prevalent in nature due to their high industrial use, and they can affect growth of plants, according their metal concentration. Their bioavailability is influenced by physical factors such as temperature, phase association, adsorption and sequestration. These metallic elements are considered systemic toxicants that are known to induce multiple organ damage, even at lower levels of exposure. Being classified as human carcinogens according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency⁶-¹¹. One of the best manners to study the metals interactions with fulvic acids is the using of analyses techniques in solution, as potentiometric titration, because it...
can reproduce as closer as possible what occurs in the nature systems. In this work, to elucidate the titration curves of the fulvic acids and zinc (II), cadmium (II) and copper (II) ion complexation it was used the BEST7 software and the software SPE and SPEPLOT.

2. Experimental setup

All the reagents were analytical grade and were used without purification. It was used the SRFA (Suwannee River Fulvic Acid, IR101F) purchased from the IHSS. The solutions of SRFA (80.00 mg L\(^{-1}\)) were prepared in the titration cell, dissolving the quantity of SRFA in water, adding 8.00 mL of 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl and completing the volume with double distilled water to 50.00 mL. The stock solutions of 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) CuCl\(_2\).2H\(_2\)O, CdCl\(_2\).2H\(_2\)O and Zn(NO\(_3\))\(_2\).6H\(_2\)O (Vetec Química Fina Ltda.) were standardized by titration with EDTA (Merck), 1.0x10\(^{-3}\)mol L\(^{-1}\) using murexide as indicator and buffer solution pH=8. The titration agent was carbonate-free solution of 0.100 mol L\(^{-1}\) KOH. The titrations were carried out in a thermostated bath (25.00 ± 0.05 °C) sealed cell, in an inert atmosphere (argon gas). The experiments started in pH 3.0 (triplicates). The pH values of titrations were read using a pHmeter (Corning 350). It was used the BEST7 software to resolve the equilibrium data because it was developed to can be useful to refine the stability constants from potentiometric data of any kind of system with any number of interactions components. To generate the species diagrams it was used the SPE software and to plot them it was used the SPEPLOT software.

3. Results and discussion

In the previous paper\(^{12}\), it was determined the quantities of the functional groups of the SRFA. In this paper and using those values, it was determined by potentiometry titrations the complexations with divalent metallic ions. In the Table 1, is presented the values of the complexation constants of the ions Cu(II), Zn(II) and Cd(II) to the functional groups of the SRFA.

![Table 1](https://example.com/table1.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>A (log K)</th>
<th>B (log K)</th>
<th>C (log K)</th>
<th>D (log K)</th>
<th>E (log K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu(II)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CuL]/[Cu].[L]</td>
<td>7.62 ± 0.07</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>13.42 ± 0.05</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>11.13 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(*)</td>
<td>(1.60 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>(13.00 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>(3.22 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>(10.62 ± 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CuL(_2)]/[Cu].[L(_2)]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>25.39 ± 0.08</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>18.95 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(24.90 ± 0.10)</td>
<td>(5.46 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>(18.45 ± 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CdL]/[Cd].[L]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>8.81 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(8.20 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>(2.50 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CdOHL],[H]/[CdL]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(*)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CdL(_2)]/[Cd].[L(_2)]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>16.78 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(*)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(II)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ZnL]/[Zn].[L]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>10.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(9.90 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ZnOHL],[H]/[ZnL]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.09</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(*)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ZnL(_2)]/[Zn].[L(_2)]</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>17.91 ± 0.09</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(17.40 ± 0.30)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where L (represents = A, B, C, D and E). The values of the literature are listed between parenthesis\(^{13,14}\).

Note: The symbol (-) represents that the respective values were not observed experimentally and (*) are the values not published.

The values of the complexation constants to the Cu(II) and the values of the literature were similar\(^7\).
although the values of the log K to the salicylate groups are slightly higher when are connected to the structure of the fulvic acids. It can be the factor on why the experimental values are higher than the values of the salicylic acid groups (simple substance). At this way, some differences are previewed due to the fact of the SRFA is a mixture so complex. The phenolic ligands are important as constituents of the complexes humic substances, on which are the main ligands, getting responsible in the transport and taking part in the transport and accumulation of the nutrients. Describe that in humic substances can exist functional groups as salicylate and the strong acidity of the salicylic groups can be due by the formation of structures of five member with intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the phenolic groups and the anion carboxylate. Evidences of this would be the fact that log K of the ML species (salicylate) diminishes 1.5 units and the log K of the ML2 species (salicylic) increases 3 units, increasing the ionization of the first proton and weakening the acidity of the second proton. Steric effects are significant in the increase of the influence of the hydrogen linkages in the SRFA percentage. In Figure 1 is presented the graphic of distribution percentage of species of the SRFA complexed with Cu(II) versus p[H].

Figure 1. The percentage of species of SRFA with the Cu (II) versus p[H] in Vsol,CuCl2 = 2 (a) and in Vsol,CuCl2 = 0.5 mL, where: phenol groups (A); benzoic groups (B); catechol groups (C); phthalic groups (D) and salicylic groups (E). (Conditions: µ = 0.100 mol L-1 KCl; T = 25º C; CSRFA = 93 mg L-1; Csol,CuCl2 = 10-2 mol L-1).

In Figure 1, at p[H]= 4 its begins the complex CuE (Where: E = salicylic) reaching its maximum amount of 48 % at p[H] = 6.5. After appearing the CuA species (where: A = phenolic), at p[H]= 6.5 it has 11 %. The specie CuC (where: C = catechol) is at p[H] = 5.5 with the maximum of concentration of 22 % at p[H]= 7.0. The specie CuC2 begins at p[H] 6.6 ranging the maximum of 58 % at p[H] = 9. The specie CuE2 begins at p[H] = 9.0 and is on the top of 20 % at p[H] = 10.8. At p[H] 10 begins the hydroxide species with Cu(II). In Figure 1 (b) it is possible to observe the complexation with Cu(II) begin at p[H] 4, beginning the CuE and CuA species reaching their maximum at p[H] = 6.5 (38 %) and p[H] = 6.2 (25 %). The specie CuC begins its formation at p[H] = 5, reaching its maximum at p[H] = 7.0 (43 %). The specie CuC2 begins at p[H] = 6.0 reaching the maximum at p[H] = 8 (98 %).
In Figure 2, it can be observed 4 complexes for the Zn(II) and the functional groups of SRFA. At p[H] = 4 appears the ZnD species (22 % at p[H] = 6.5), at p[H] = 6.8 is formed the ZnC species (75 % at p[H] = 8.5). At p[H] 7.8 the hydroxy species ZnOHNC (maxim 33 % at p[H] = 10). The ZnC2 species is formed after p[H] = 8 (maximum 60 % at p[H] =10.5).

Figure 2. Percentage of SRFA species with Zn(II) versus p[H], where the ligands are represented by phenol (A), benzoic (B), catechol (C), phthalic (D) and salicylic (E). (Conditions: μ = 0.100 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl; T = 25 °C; \(C_{SRFA} = 93 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\); \(C_{sol \text{ Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2} = 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}\)).

The Figure 3 shows the graphic of distribution of species of the fulvic acid complexed with the Cd(II) in percentage versus p[H]. The Cd(II) presented a chemical behavior very similar to the Zn(II) forming the same type of forming. The species formed with the Cd(II) are the CdD (where: D = phthalic) reaching its maximum of 7 % at p[H] = 7; at p[H] = 7.2 is formed the CdC species (where: C = catechol), reaching its maximum 28 % at p[H] = 8.8; at p[H] = 8 it was formed the hydroxy species CdOHNC, reaching its maximum of 80 % at p[H] = 8.0, over the p[H] = 8.2 it was formed the CdC2 species reaching its maximum of 30 % at p[H] = 10.9. The catechol was the functional group more reactive with all the divalent ions studied, it has complexed with all the ions. At second place, it was the salicylic group complexed in great quantity with the ions Cu(II). According the results of this work, this is the series of reactivity to the bivalents ions and the SRFA: Cu (II) >> Cd(II) > Zn(II).

Figure 3. Percentage of species of the SRFA with Cd (II) versus p[H]. Where: phenol (A), benzoic (B), catechol (C), phthalic (D) and salicylic (E). (Conditions: μ = 0.100 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl; T = 25 °C; \(C_{SRFA} = 93 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\); \(C_{sol\text{ CdCl}_2} = 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}\)).

4. Conclusions

The values of the proton dissociation and complexation constants with the divalent ions for each functional group were calculated and their values were very close to those of the literature. The functional group present in the highest quantity in the complexes was catechol, and it complexed with all the divalent ions, although to a greater extend with Cu(II). According to the results obtained by potentiometry, the reactivity series for the divalent ions and the SRFA is: Cu(II) >> Cd(II) > Zn(II).

5. Acknowledgments

Thanks to CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) and FUNCITEC (Foundation of Science and Technology).

6. References


